should there ever be, in fact, such a hearing. We, of course, cannot assume that such hearing will ever take place; were we to do so, we would be indulging in pure speculation. Likewise, should we make such an assumption, we would usurp the discretionary powers of the trial judge, and this we are unwilling to do. In the event a hearing on revocation of probation possibly should be held, appellant's right to seek relief from any conceivable abuse of discretion by the Court at such hearing is preserved. Her rights in this regard remain inviolate.

Since no reversible error is to be found, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

## T. H. ODELL, Appellant

 $\mathbf{v}_{\bullet}$ 

## MICRONESIAN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., Appellee

Civil Appeal No. 92
(Marianas Civil Action No. 860)
Appellate Division of the High Court
Mariana Islands District
June 25, 1973

## BURNETT, Chief Justice

This appeal was taken from judgment entered October 13, 1972. By motion June 16, 1973, plaintiff-appellant advised that the parties had entered into a compromise agreement, and requested dismissal of the appeal.

It is, therefore, ordered, that Civil Appeal No. 92 be, and it hereby is, dismissed.